

**UC Davis Philosophy Department  
Expanded Course Descriptions  
Spring, 2009**

**PHILOSOPHY 1**

**INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY**

Elaine Landry  
TR 3:10-4:30 P.M.  
2205 Haring  
CRNs: 85430-85437

**TEXT**

Special order text from Pearson Publishing. TBA

**COURSE CONTENT**

This course is designed to introduce the student to various issues that serve to underwrite both philosophical problems and inquiries. Specifically, we will consider questions in *Epistemology*—theories of knowledge, *Metaphysics*—theories of reality, and *Ethics*—theories of value. Before addressing these questions, however, we will consider the very act of philosophizing. This will include a brief look at the writings of Plato and articles on *methodology*. The overall aim, then, will be the development of those critical and analytical skills that are necessary for understanding in philosophy and in other areas of inquiry.

**REQUIREMENTS**

25%—Take home midterm, 50%—2 essays (5 pages each), 25%—Take home final. *No* late exams or essays will be accepted unless a medical note is provided and/or permission is granted by the instructor *prior* to the set date.

**PREREQUISITE**

None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 5**

**CRITICAL REASONING**

Nick Diehl  
MWF 11:00-11:50 A.M.  
26 Wellman  
CRNs: 85438-85441

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

*Attacking Faulty Reasoning*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed., T. Edward Damer  
*On Bullshit*, Harry G. Frankfurt

**COURSE CONTENT**

This is an introductory course in critical reasoning. And what exactly *is* a critical reasoning course? More or less, it is a course about the process of reasoning and common problems that we all struggle with when we attempt to think clearly about difficult problems. So this course does have a topical component, albeit a rather abstract one. But the more significant part of this course is its practical component. To judge from the thinking that is on display in political speeches, religious sermons, letters to the editor, and—yes—even undergraduate student papers, most people could use some guidance and some practice in constructing clear and cogent arguments for their beliefs. By learning to recognize common fallacies and to apply various strategies of critical reasoning in your own thinking and writing, it is devoutly to be wished that you will become a better thinker in general. Thus much of our time will be spent on practical exercises on a variety of topics including: gay marriage, the use of Native American mascots in sports, affirmative action admissions policies, the existence of God, and the possibility of just war. The goal in discussing these topics will not be to come to a definitive answer, but to examine supporting arguments critically. We will also pursue a series of topics of your own devising in small group settings.

**REQUIREMENTS**

3 exams, 5 short (1-page) argumentative papers

**PREREQUISITES**

None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 12****INTRODUCTION TO SYMBOLIC LOGIC**

Aldo Antonelli  
TR 12:10-1:30 P.M.  
1204 Haring  
CRNs: 93767, 93768

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

*A Modern Formal Logic Primer*, Paul Teller. Available at <http://tellerprimer.ucdavis.edu>

**COURSE CONTENT**

A self-contained introduction to propositional logic, emphasizing translation from English into the formal language and assessment of arguments by a variety of methods (truth tables, truth trees, derivations).

**REQUIREMENTS** Homework assignments, midterm and final exams

**PREREQUISITES** None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 14 ETHICAL AND SOCIAL PROBLEMS IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIETY**

Regina Milano  
MW 4:10-6:00 P.M.  
6 Olson  
CRNs: 93770-93773

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Contemporary Moral Problems*, 9<sup>th</sup> ed., James E. White

**COURSE CONTENT** This course will be a study of various contemporary moral issues, including an introduction to ethical theories. Some of the topics we will focus upon may include the following: abortion, euthanasia, liberty and drugs, the moral status of animals, war and terrorism, and torture. The aim of this course is not to resolve these difficult moral issues, but rather to explore them and learn how to think about and discuss them in a clear and critical way through argumentation. By learning how to distinguish good from bad arguments you will be in a better position to begin to decide for yourself which positions make the most sense and why.

**REQUIREMENTS** Two (3-5 page) papers, a final exam, and participation

**PREREQUISITES** None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 22 HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY: EARLY MODERN**

G. J. Matthey  
TR 12:10-1:30 P.M.  
1150 Haring  
CRNs: 85450-85451

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Readings in Modern Philosophy*, Vols. I & II, Ariew and Watkins  
*Prolegomena to any Future Metaphysics*, Kant

**COURSE CONTENT** This course will survey the main trends and thinkers in the first two centuries of the modern period. Most of the course

will be devoted to Descartes, Locke, Hume and Kant. Other philosophers to be covered in less detail are Spinoza, Leibniz, Berkeley and Reid. The main issues arising in this period include the nature and extent of human knowledge, the composition of the physical world and of the human mind (and the relation between the two), the existence of God, and human freedom. Readings will be from the original writings of the philosophers. Papers will require exposition and interpretation of those writings

**REQUIREMENTS** Two 5-page papers, final examination, discussion participation.

**PREREQUISITES** None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 30 INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE**

David Ian Spencer  
TR 1:40-3:00 P.M.  
168 Hoagland  
CRNs: 85453-85453

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Theory and Reality: An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science*, Peter Godfrey-Smith  
*Readings in the Philosophy of Science: From Positivism to Postmodernism*, Theodore Schick

**COURSE CONTENT** A study of some of the basic problems in the philosophy of science, common to the physical, biological, and social sciences. Problems to be addressed include the following: What is science and what distinguishes it from pseudo-science? Can science really tell us anything about reality? What is the goal of science, anyway? How do we test scientific theories?

**REQUIREMENTS** Regular short written assignments, class participation, a midterm and a final exam.

**PREREQUISITES** None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 31 UNDERSTANDING SCIENTIFIC REASONING**

James Griesemer  
MWF 9:00-9:50 A.M.

226 Wellman  
CRNs: 93758-93759

**REQUIRED TEXTS**      *Understanding Scientific Reasoning*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Ronald Giere, John Bickle and Robert Mauldin

**COURSE CONTENT**      The impact of science and technology on our day-to-day lives is great. As citizens, we cannot afford to be ignorant of them. In addition, scholars of many sorts must understand science and technology in order to interpret society, culture, politics, economy, or history as well as science itself. But taking advantage of the information presented to us (in the mass media and elsewhere) requires understanding how such information is produced. One important activity involved in producing scientific information is reasoning. In this course we will examine reasoning processes and strategies employed in science through examination of general principles and concrete examples. We will explore the nature and evaluation of theoretical hypotheses—including statistical and causal hypotheses—and models of decision-making. Examples will be drawn from historical material described in the text as well as contemporary examples drawn from popular media such as newspapers, magazines, and the Internet.

**REQUIREMENTS**      Homework: 50%, Two Midterms: 30% (15% each), Final Exam: 20%.

**PREREQUISITES**      None

---

**PHILOSOPHY 103      PHILOSOPHY OF MIND**

Bernard Molyneux  
MWF 9:00-9:50 A.M.  
212 Wellman  
CRN: 85494

**REQUIRED TEXTS**      *Philosophy of Mind: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, ed. David Chalmers  
*Philosophy of Mind*, Jaegwon Kim

**COURSE CONTENT**      This course is designed to give an overview of the mind-body problem—the problem of how mentality emerges in the world as we understand it. We begin by looking at dualism—the view that the mind is a non-physical entity that

interacts with the brain but is distinct from it. We then proceed to critically examine other theories such as mind-brain identity (the mind is the brain) and computational functionalism (the mind is like the software to the brain). Students will gain some understanding of the main metaphysical theories of the mind and their major strengths and weaknesses.

**REQUIREMENTS** Grades are based on two papers (35%) and a final exam.

**PREREQUISITE** There is no time for training in basic philosophical method; therefore students are *strongly* advised to have taken at least one prior philosophy course.

---

## **PHILOSOPHY 119**

## **PHILOSOPHY OF LAW**

Gerald Dworkin  
TR 10:30-11:50 A.M.  
101 Wellman  
CRNs: 93760-93765

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Morality, Harm and the Law*, Dworkin  
*Law, Liberty and Morality*, Hart

**COURSE CONTENT** This course will deal with various normative issues that arise in the law. We will concentrate on several questions having to do with the right of the state to use legal coercion to restrict its citizens behavior. What principles ought to regulate the use of the law to restrict behavior? May the state do so to prevent offense? To prevent persons from harming themselves? To make people more virtuous? To make people rescue others from danger? What kinds of speech may be limited? Pornography? Hate speech? Finally, what gives the state the right to punish offenders? And may those punishments include the death penalty?

**REQUIREMENTS** Two short papers (approximately 1500 words) and a final examination, each worth one-third of the final grade.

**PREREQUISITE** One prior course in philosophy or permission of the instructor.

---

## **PHILOSOPHY 134**

## **MODAL LOGIC**

Aldo Antonelli  
TR 1:40-3:00 P.M., R 3:10-4:00 P.M.  
105 Wellman  
CRN: 93769

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Modal Logic: An Introduction*, Brian Chellas

**COURSE CONTENT** A survey of the basic tools, ideas, and methods of modal logic, i.e., the logic of possibility and necessity. Students are introduced to the main system of modal logic, including standard axiomatizations and possible world semantics. The aim of this course is to present detailed proofs of completeness for the different systems and give students a good grasp of “correspondence theory”, i.e., the way in which different axioms characterize different properties of the accessibility relation between possible worlds.

**REQUIRMENTS** Homework assignments

**PREREQUISITES** Philosophy 112 (Philosophy 113 recommended)

---

**PHILOSOPHY 145** **MEDIEVAL PHILOSOPHY**

Jan Szaif  
TR 10:30-11:50 A.M.  
130 Physics and Geology  
CRN: 93766

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Philosophy in the Middle Ages: The Christian, Islamic, and Jewish Traditions*, eds. Arthur Hyman and James J. Walsh

**COURSE CONTENT** An introduction to medieval philosophy based on selected texts from major philosophers in the Christian, Islamic, and Jewish traditions of the medieval era. The themes to be covered include problems in metaphysics, epistemology (theory of knowledge), political philosophy, and philosophy of religion.

**REQUIREMENTS** A term paper, a mid-term and a final examination, homework assignments.

**PREREQUISITES** Philosophy 21, another class in history of philosophy, or consent of the instructor.

---

**PHILOSOPHY 162****ARISTOTLE**

Michael Wedin  
TR 1:40-3:00 P.M.  
7 Wellman  
CRN: 85496

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

*A New Aristotle Reader*, ed. J. L. Ackrill

**COURSE CONTENT**

This course introduces the student to the main themes and problems in Aristotle's metaphysics, ontology, theory of language, and theory of scientific explanation. Emphasis is on discussion and analysis of the theories and arguments found in a set of fundamental treatises: *Categories*, *De Interpretatione*, *Posterior Analytics*, *Physics*, and *Metaphysics*.

**REQUIREMENTS**

Two short papers on assigned topics and a final examination.

**PREREQUISITES**

Interest and a willingness to work.

---

**PHILOSOPHY 175****KANT**

G. J. Matthey  
MW 2:10-4:00 P.M.  
233 Wellman  
CRN: 93775

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

*Critique of Pure Reason*, Kant (tr. Werner S. Pluhar)  
*Prolegomena to any Future Metaphysics*, Kant (2<sup>nd</sup> ed., tr. James Ellington)

**COURSE CONTENT**

A reading of most of Kant's seminal work in metaphysics and epistemology, the *Critique of Pure Reason* (1<sup>st</sup> edition 1781, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition 1787). Kant's work will be examined in the context of the metaphysics of his eighteenth-century German predecessors, from whose dogmatism he says he broke away upon his "recollection of David Hume." In its place, Kant proposed a system of categories and principles valid for things as they appear to the human subject but illegitimately applied to things in themselves. We will look at the structure of Kant's system as well as the arguments he used to justify it.

**REQUIREMENTS** Four short papers and a comprehensive final exam.

**PREREQUISITES** Philosophy 22.

---

**PHILOSOPHY 189H TOPICS IN PHILOSOPHY OF MIND**

Bernard Molyneux  
MWF 1:10-2:00 P.M.  
229 Wellman  
CRN: 85499

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Out of our Heads: Why You Are Not Your Brain, and Other Lessons from the Biology of Consciousness*, Alva Noë

**COURSE CONTENT** We will be examining the externalist challenge to the traditional conception of the mind. Externalism is the view that the physical locus of cognition/intelligence/mentality extends beyond the boundaries of the organism. We start with issues pertaining to swarm intelligence, altruism and the prisoner's dilemma and find that behavior that seems unintelligent at the level of the individual is intelligent at the level of the group (and vice versa). We move on to look at Putnamian/Burgian semantic externalism (is meaning in the head?) personal externalism (is the self bounded by the organism?) and mental state externalism (does your mental state extend beyond your physiological boundaries?).

**REQUIREMENTS** Grades are based on two papers (35%) and a final exam.

**PREREQUISITES** We have no time for a primer on philosophical methodology, so the student is *strongly* advised to have taken one prior course in philosophy.

---

**PHILOSOPHY 189I TOPICS IN PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE**

Elaine Landry  
TR 12:10-1:30 P.M.  
290 Hickey Gym  
CRN: 93776

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Science and Philosophy in the West*, Jeffery C. Leon

**COURSE CONTENT**

This course will survey some of the central themes that inform both the historical developments and the philosophical foundations of ancient, modern and contemporary science. We will consider these themes through the analysis of selections from primary source readings. Our focus will be on the manner in which the interaction between science and philosophy has engendered a move, through various shifts in scientific methodology, from a metaphysics-driven science to a science-driven metaphysics. We will investigate these themes by beginning with Aristotelian Science and ending with Positivist Metaphysics.

**REQUIREMENTS**

25%—Take home midterm; 50%—2 essays (5 pages each); 25%—Take home final. *No late exams or essays will be accepted unless a medical note is provided, and/or permission is granted by the instructor prior to the set date.*

**PREREQUISITES**

Philosophy 30 or consent of instructor

---

**PHILOSOPHY 210****PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE—MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURALISM**

Elaine Landry

W 3:10-6:00

2275 Social Science and Humanities

CRN: 93778

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

All readings will be posted on SmartSite

**COURSE CONTENT**

This course will investigate issues in the philosophy of mathematics by considering current interpretations of mathematical structuralism. Our aim will be to answer the question: is structuralism an alternative to foundationalism?

Moving beyond the traditional foundationalist debates of Platonism, institutionism and formalism, we begin with the challenges posed by Benacerraf [1965], as to whether, and in what sense, numbers can be considered as objects. We then consider structuralism as a response to this challenge and seek to understand the sense in which mathematical objects are “positions in structure”. We then investigate, in greater detail, the various interpretations and varieties of mathematical “frameworks” for mathematical structuralism, i.e., set-theory, modal logic, structure-theory and category-

theory, and consider the extent to which each may offer an alternative to the traditional foundationalist positions.

**REQUIREMENTS** 40%—Presentation, 60%—Paper (15 pages). *No late essays will be accepted unless a medical note is provided and/or permission is granted by the instructor prior to the set date.*

**PREREQUISITES** Graduate standing or consent of the instructor

---

**PHILOSOPHY 212      PHILOSOPHY OF LOGIC AND MATHEMATICS—  
QUANTIFIERS**

Aldo Antonelli  
T 3:10-6:00 P.M.  
2275 Social Science and Humanities  
CRN: 93777

**REQUIRED TEXTS** *Quantifiers in Logic and Language*, Stanley Peters and Dag Westerstahl

**COURSE CONTENT** A thorough study of quantifiers from a logical point of view. The main emphasis of the course is on definition and classification of quantifiers, but other topics might also be covered (applications in linguistics, definability and expressive power, etc.) depending on students' interest.

**REQUIREMENTS** In-class presentation, final paper.

**PREREQUISITES** Graduate standing or consent of the instructor.

---

**PHILOSOPHY 290      HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY**

Jan Szaif  
R 3:10-6:00 P.M.  
2275 Social Science and Humanities  
CRN: 93779

**REQUIRED TEXTS** TBA

**COURSE CONTENT** *Parmenides' notion of being and its critique in Plato and Aristotle.* Parmenides was the first to assign a central role in metaphysics to the notions of *being* and *not-being*. Plato

and Aristotle provide critical assessments of these notions and the arguments based on them, and develop alternative approaches. Since this debate is of central importance for the development of the classical conception of ontology and its reception in medieval and early modern metaphysics, this course will be particularly relevant for those interested in the history of metaphysics.

**REQUIREMENTS**

One substantial research paper.

**PREREQUISITE**

Graduate standing or consent of the instructor.

---