Meta-Logic of Predicate Logic

Derivability and Valdity

• An argument of Predicate Logic Z, therefore X (or Z\X) is valid if and only if in every interpretation in which all the members of Z are true, X is also true.

- { $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx), Fa$ } \Ga

• X is **derivable** from premises Z if and only if there is a proof of X from only premises Z using the rules of inference.

1	$(\forall x)(F x \supset G x)$	Р
2	Fa	Р
3	$F_a \supset G_a$	$1 \; \forall \; E$
4	Ga	$2\ 3\supset E$

Soundness and Completeness

- $\mathbf{Z} \vdash \mathbf{X}$ if and only if **X** is derivable from **Z**.
 - ' \vdash ' is a metalogical symbol known as the 'turnstyle'.
 - It indicates a purely syntactical relation between the members of Z and X.
- $Z \vDash X$ if and only if the argument $Z \setminus X$ is valid.
 - ' \models ' is a metalogical symbol known as the 'double turnstyle'.
 - It indicates a semantical relation between the members of Z and X.
- A system of formal proof is **sound** if and only if for all **Z** and all **X**, if $\mathbf{Z} \vdash \mathbf{X}$, then $\mathbf{Z} \models \mathbf{X}$.
- A system of formal proof is **complete** if and only if for all **Z** and all **X**, if $Z \models X$, then $Z \vdash X$ (proved by Kurt Gödel in 1930).

Undecidability

- Given that a system of formal proof is complete, there is a proof for every valid argument.
- In Predicate Logic, there is no purely syntactical way to determine whether a proof for any given argument exists or semantical way to determine whether any given argument is valid.
- There is no mechanical **decision procedure** for determining derivability or validity in a finite number of steps.

- Thus Predicate Logic is undecidable (proved by Alonzo Church in 1936).
- However, various fragments of Predicate Logic are decidable.
 - For example, the set of sentences of Predicate Logic in which no predicate has more than one argument.

Second-Order Predicate Logic

- Predicate Logic, originally proposed by Frege, can be extended by allowing quantification over predicates.
 - For example, we might formulate an axiom of identity.
 - $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y \equiv (\forall P)(Px \equiv Py)).$
- Semantically, the quantifiers would range over properties or sets, rather than the objects in the domain over which first-order quantifiers range.
- Second-order logic cannot be given a proof system which is sound and complete relative to its interpretation, though fragments of it can be given a sound and complete proof system.
- As with first-order logic, the fragment of second-order logic consisting of none but one-place predicates is decidable.
- It is possible to construct logics of higher, even infinite, order.